## Grandmaster Repertoire 12

## The Modern Benoni

By

## Marian Petrov



Quality Chess www.qualitychess.co.uk

# Contents

Key	to symbols used & Bibliography	4
Inti	roduction	5
	f4 Systems	
1	Mikenas Attack	9
2	The Four Pawns Attack	18
3	Flick-Knife Attack – 8Øbd7	32
4	Flick-Knife Attack – 8Øfd7 without 9.a4	42
5	Flick-Knife Attack – 9.a4 ₩h4†	49
6	Flick-Knife Attack – 9.a4 0–0	62
	e4 Systems	
7	Classical Variation with 9 Ze8	79
8	Classical Variation with \$\documegg4\$	101
9	Modern Main Line	117
10	Modern Main Line – without 9b5	127
11	Kapengut	144
12	$7.\overline{2}$ ge $\overline{2}$	160
13	7.⊈d3 and 8.ᡚge2	165
14	Sidelines	184
	Lines without e4	
15	7. <b>\$</b> f4 <b>\$</b> g7	192
16	7. <b>\$</b> f4 a6	205
17	Fianchetto System	221
18	Knight's Tour Variation	248
19	Lines with an early \(\frac{2}{3}g5\)	261
20	4.g3	269
21	Rare 4th moves	290
Ind	ex of Variations	298

## Introduction

### By the Author

Welcome to the Modern Benoni, one of the most dynamic openings in all of chess. Make no mistake: this book is for players who enjoy the wild and adventurous side of the game. Modern Benoni devotees are forced to play on the edge, and will often have to live with positional weaknesses and/or sacrifice material to avoid being driven into passivity. On the plus side Black gets active piece play with rich counterattacking possibilities, perhaps more so than in any other opening against 1.d4.

The Benoni got its name from a German manuscript published in 1825 entitled *Ben-Oni*. For the rest of the 19th century it was rarely seen and had a poor reputation. Then in the early 1900s it was adopted by a few maestros of the day, most notably Frank Marshall. Even the great Alekhine played it a few times, despite having stated it was not a good opening. It was not until the late 1950s that the Modern Benoni really became popular, thanks to the great Mikhail Tal. The Benoni, with all its dynamism and counterattacking potential, suited Tal's aggressive tactical style perfectly. His games say more than my words ever could, so let's whet our appetites by seeing a couple of them.


#### Bukhuti Gurgenidze - Mikhail Tal

Moscow 1957

1.d4 ②f6 2.c4 c5 3.d5 e6 4.②c3 exd5 5.cxd5 d6 6.②f3 g6 7.e4 \$g7 8.\$e2 0-0 9.0-0 Gurgenidze employs the Classical System, which can be found in Chapters 7 and 8.

#### 9... \( \frac{1}{2} \) e8 10. \( \frac{1}{2} \) d2 \( \frac{1}{2} \) a6 11. \( \frac{1}{2} \) e1 \( \frac{1}{2} \) c7 12. a4 b6

At this stage it is hard to predict where Black's counterplay will come from, but just watch how quickly the situation changes.




#### 13.₩c2

Nowadays the main move is 13.f4.

#### 13...2g4! 14.h3?


White must have been asking himself where the knight could be going. Playing against Tal, perhaps he should have been more cautious.



#### 14...②xf2!!

A brilliant combination! Suddenly White's king is in grave danger.

#### 15. 中文 2 图 h4 † 16. 中 f l 皇 d4 17. 夕 d l



#### 

Taking on h3 with the bishop is promising, but doing it with the queen is so much stronger, not to mention spectacular.

#### 18.\(\partial\)f3

18.gxh3? \$\dong\$xh3 is mate of course.

#### 18... **增h2** 19. **包e3** f5!


The quote from *The Lord of the Rings*, "Even the smallest person can change the course of the future", applies just as much to pawns as it does to hobbits.

#### 20. 2 dc4 fxe4 21. 2 xe4 2 a6!

The bishop finds a perfect home. There are too many pins, open files and diagonals for White to deal with.

#### 22. \$f3 罩e5 23. 罩a3 罩ae8 24. \$d2 包xd5!

An excellent demonstration of team play. After the earlier sacrifice Tal has simply brought his pieces to good squares and the white position soon crumbles to dust.



## 25.\(\dong{L}\)xd5† \(\delta\)xd5 \(26.\dong{L}\)e2 \(\dong{L}\)xe3 \(27.\dong{L}\)xe3 \(\dong{L}\)xc4†

0 - 1

Any player would be proud to win such a game, and Tal's play from 1957 showed he was way ahead of his time. No wonder his opponents became scared to sit down opposite him before a game! Here is one more game from the following year.

Introduction 7


#### Yuri Averbakh - Mikhail Tal

Riga 1958

## 1.d4 ②f6 2.c4 e6 3. ②c3 c5 4.d5 exd5 5.cxd5 d6 6.e4 g6 7. ②e2 ②g7 8. ②f3 0−0 9.0−0 ℤe8 10. 灃c2 ②a6 11. ②f4 ②b4

Another knight attack, this time from the other side.

#### 12.₩b1



#### 12...②xe4!?


This move must have come as a huge psychological shock to Averbakh. In fact it is not fully correct, but over the board it caused problems that were too much for White to handle. The fact that Black can even contemplate giving up a piece in this way says something about the wealth of possibilities offered by the Benoni.

#### 13. 2xe4 &f5 14. 2fd2 2xd5 15. &xd6?

15. 2g3 would have made it harder for Black to prove his compensation.

### 15...②f6 16.ዿf3 ②xe4 17.②xe4 ዿxe4 18.ዿxe4 ∰xd6

Black has emerged a pawn up with a more active position.




#### 19.₩c2 Ee7 20.\$f3 Eae8 21.Ead1

The opposite-coloured bishops give White realistic drawing possibilities, so it is interesting to observe how Tal breaks the defence.

#### 

Under heavy pressure, White commits a fatal oversight.

#### 30...\$xf2! 31.₩e2



#### 31...罩d2!!

It is only fitting that the game is decided by tactics.

**32.₩e8**† 32.₩xd2 ₩c6† leads to mate.

32...⊈g7 33.gxh4 ∰d4 34.ዿh3 ∰d3 35.ዿg2 \deltad1 0−1

#### About this book

I have been playing the Modern Benoni for about a decade. Before then I played the King's Indian, so it was a natural transition as I was already used to having my bishop on g7. For my own reasons I have usually preferred the move order 1.d4 g6, intending to transpose to a Benoni after a subsequent c2-c4. Of course I understand most readers will prefer a more conventional move order, so I have taken the position after the standard moves 1.d4 \$\infty\$16 2.c4 c5 3.d5 e6 as my starting point for the book.

A friend of mine once told me "Every time when I see your pawn on d6 it makes me sick!" However, he also admitted he wished he could get such interesting positions from the black side of the Queen's Gambit Declined, which is his favoured defence. The thing I like about the Modern Benoni is that it almost always gives rise to a tense position where both players must make concrete decisions. I have also observed that there are many players who do not enjoy facing the Benoni, as White finds it hard to control the game the way he often does in most 1.d4 openings.

In this book I have departed slightly from the strict 'repertoire approach' of giving one recommendation against each of the opponent's options. Against most major options I have provided coverage of two or more lines; the Benoni is a versatile beast and I hope the reader will find it useful to have multiple weapons in his arsenal. In some cases I have even spent time covering a less promising alternative, in order to provide some theoretical background and highlight the reasons that led me not to recommend something different. No doubt some readers would argue in favour of a more detailed 'one recommendation' approach, but I am happy with the final balance of detail versus choices. I also made the decision to focus my attention on the most popular and critical attempts for White to handle each major variation. In almost every early position there are probably ten or more uncommon moves that have been played at some point, but does anyone really need a recommendation against every possible move that they're unlikely ever to face?

I hope you will enjoy reading this book and putting its recommendations into practice. Remember one thing: the Modern Benoni is not an opening that can be played by book alone. Preparation has its place of course, but more important are the resolve, wit and ingenuity of the brave warrior who puts his pawns on c5 and d6. Thus I invite you to summon your inner Tal, turn the page and get started.

Marian Petrov Burgas, Bulgaria February 2013



## f4 Systems



### Mikenas Attack

### **Variation Index**

1.d4 \$\Delta\$f6 2.c4 c5 3.d5 e6 4.\$\Delta\$c3 exd5 5.cxd5 d6 6.e4 g6 7.f4

7...**\$g**7 8.e5

O Company of the comp	
A) 8dxe5	10
B) 8 ②fd7 9. ②b5 dxe5 10. ②d6† 查e7 11. ②xc8† 豐xc8 12. ②f3 罩e8	12
B1) 13.f5	14
B11) 13�b6N	14
B12) 13e4!	15
B2) 13.fxe5	17

#### A) note to 11...266




#### B) note to 12...\(\mathbb{Z}\)e8



#### B12) after 18.20e6




## 1.d4 ፟\Dif6 2.c4 c5 3.d5 e6 4.\Dic3 exd5 5.cxd5 d6 6.e4 g6 7.f4



The first six chapters of the book will focus on this aggressive continuation, which continues to be a source of headaches for a lot of Benoni players.

#### 7...**.**g7 8.e5




This is known as the Mikenas Attack. It is rarely played, but Black should be prepared. The two main replies are **A) 8...dxe5** and **B) 8... \(\Delta\) fd7**.

#### A) 8...dxe5

This is an acceptable choice for players who don't wish to study long variations.


#### 9.fxe5 **②**fd7 10.e6 fxe6 11.dxe6



#### 11...包f6

Now White must go into an endgame. I believe this is the best move, although I will mention three other options:

11... 168 occurred in Alfonso Nogue – Almeida Quintana, Badalona 2009. Here White has a natural improvement:



12. ∰xd8†N ∱xd8 13. ዿg5† ∱e8 14. ዿb5† Ĉc6 15.0–0–0 Ĉxe6 16. Ĉf3≅

Finally there is:

11...\#e7

This has been the most popular move, but I don't like it much.

12.4 d5

Now you must enter a long forced line:

12... \( \text{\mathematheta} \) xe6\( \dagger \) 13. \( \text{\mathematheta} \) e2 \( \text{\mathematheta} \) xe2\( \dagger \) 14. \( \dagger \) xe2\( \dagger \) 14. \( \dagger \) xe2\( \dagger \) 15. \( \dagger \) 3 \( \dagger \) d6 \( 16. \dagger \) h6\( \dagger \)

15. \$\hat{\Delta}\$c7 \$\hat{\Delta}\$c6 16. \$\hat{\Delta}\$xa8 \$\hat{\Delta}\$b4 17. \$\hat{\Delta}\$d1 
17. \$\hat{\Delta}\$f3 \$\hat{\Delta}\$c2† 18. \$\hat{\Delta}\$d1 \$\hat{\Delta}\$xa1 19. \$\hat{\Delta}\$c4† \$\hat{\Delta}\$h8 
20. \$\mathref{\Delta}\$e1 a6= 21. \$\hat{\Delta}\$e6 \$\hat{\Delta}\$e5 22. \$\mathref{\Delta}\$xe5?? \$\hat{\Delta}\$xe5-+


Shereshevski – Semeniuk, Vilnius 1974.

After the text move Black needs to fight hard just to stay in the game, while White has many ways to stay a piece up for one or two pawns.

17...\d3†

17... 🗓 e5 18. 🗓 f3 🗓 ed3† 19. 🖄 f1 💆 d5 20. 🔻 b3 🐧 e6 21. 🖺 g5 🗒 xa8 22. 🗒 d1 c4 23. 🖺 xc4 🖺 e3† 24. 🖺 xe3 🖺 xc4 25. 🖄 g1 🖺 xb2 26. h4±

18. \$\dot\delta\$ e2 \$\delta\$f2 19. \$\dot\delta\$e3 \$\delta\$xh1 20. \$\delta\$f3 c4 21. \$\dot\delta\$c2 \$\delta\$f6 22. \$\dot\delta\$f5 23. \$\dot\delta\$xa7



#### 23...\documents\delta\g4!N


I prefer this over 23... \$\int \frac{1}{2} \text{f4} \pm \text{ as played in Marcotulli - Fredriksen, e-mail 2002.}

24.ᡚb6 ᡚf4† 25.₾d2

25.\$\dot\dot\frac{d}{2}\$ 26.\$\dot\delta\frac{d}{2}\$ 27.\$\dot\delta\frac{d}{2}\$ 40 \dot\delta\frac{d}{2}\$ 27.\$\dot\delta\frac{d}{2}\$ 40 \dot\delta\frac{d}{2}\$ 40 \dot\delta\fr

25...②xg2 26.②e1 罩d8† 27.蛰c1 臯h6† 28.蛰b1 ②e3 29.b3

29.h3? 臭f5-+



29...\$\rac2

29...\$f5 30.\$xf5 \$\mathbb{Z}\$d1\daggreur 31.\$\dot{\pm}\$b2 \$\mathbb{Z}\$g7\daggreur 32.\$\dot{\pm}\$a3 gxf5 33.\$\dot{\pm}\$xc4 \$\mathbb{Z}\$xc1 \$\dot{\pm}\$c2\daggreur 35.\$\dot{\pm}\$a4 \$\dot{\pm}\$xc1\$\$


30.∮xc2 cxb3 31.axb3 \( \bar{2}\) d3

Black keeps a playable position, but overall I don't find this line particularly appealing.

#### 

12. \$b5†?! ②c6 favours Black.

#### 12...\$xd8




#### 13.\(\mathbb{L}\)e3N

13. 2g5?! 2xe6 14.0–0–0† 2bd7 15. 2f3 h6 left White struggling to demonstrate compensation in Moehring – Hesse, Annaberg-Buchholz 1965.

#### 

The queenless middlegame is balanced.

#### B) 8... 包fd7



This is the main line, and leads to more complex play.

#### 9.4b5

9.4 dxe5 10.4 d6† is the same.

9.e6?! is worse: 9...fxe6 10.dxe6 ②b6 11.②e4 d5 12.②b5† (12.②xc5 營e7平) 12...②c6 13.②xc5 This position occurred in Kratochvil – Hradecky, Frydek Mistek 2005, and now 13...營e7!N would have left Black clearly better.


#### 9...dxe5 10. 2 d6†

In return for the sacrificed pawn White has managed to give an early check, forcing our king to stay in the centre for a while.

#### 10...中e7 11. ②xc8†


11.  $\triangle$ b5? is deservedly rare: 11... $\Xi$ e8 12.d6†  $\triangle$ f8 13.  $\triangle$ c7 For some reason the natural 13... $\triangle$ h4†!N has not been played so far, but it brings Black a clear advantage as shown after: 14.g3 (14.  $\triangle$ e2  $\triangle$ f6 15.  $\triangle$ f3  $\triangle$ g4 16.  $\triangle$ xe8  $\triangle$ xe8 $\mp$ ) 14...exf4† 15.  $\triangle$ f2 fxg3† 16.  $\triangle$ g2  $\triangle$ e4† 17.  $\triangle$ f3 gxh2 $\mp$ 

#### 11...₩xc8



#### 12.包f3

White needs to catch up on development. 12.d6†?! is premature: 12... 总f8 13. 总f3 总c6 14. 兔e2(14. 兔c4 总b6 15. 兔d3 營d7 16. 兔e4 邑e8 17.0—0 exf4干 Nogues — Bertorello, Villa Martelli 2008.)14...e4 15. 总g5 总d4干 16. 兔c4 The counterattack is not working.



16...\( \Delta\) b6! 17.\( \Lambda\) xf7 h6 18.\( \Lambda\) xg6 hxg5 19.fxg5 \( \Lambda\) e5-+ Maiorov – Marcotulli, e-mail 2002.


#### 

This is the main move, but there are a couple of promising alternatives.

#### 12...e4!?

Keeping the e- and f-files closed is a rare but nice idea which seems to work well.

#### 13.42g5



Few games have reached this position, so there is room to explore. Black must certainly avoid 13... 置e8?? 14.d6† 总f8 15. 增d5+-. However, it is worth checking both a) 13... 总b6 and b) 13... 总d4N.


#### a) 13...🗹 b6

This has been the most common choice, although I only found six games in which it was played.

#### 14.d6†

The untested 14. 增b3!?N may be White's best bet: 14... 全f8 15. 全g3 h6 The safest move. 16. 公xe4 增e8 17. 增c2 全g8 18. 全f2 公xd5 19. 全xc5 公xf4毫

14... 空f8 15.a4 h6 16.a5 hxg5 17.axb6 a6 18. 營d5 營d7 19.fxg5 公c6 20. 兔b5 兔d4〒



#### 21.\(\mathbb{2}\)f4? \(\vartheta\)b4!N

Better than 21... ∲g7 as in Nguyen Chi Minh – Schabanel, Issy les Moulineaux 2002.

22.\(\delta\)xd4 cxd4 23.\(\delta\)xd7 \(\delta\)d3\(\delta\) 24.\(\delta\)f1 \(\delta\)xf4\(\pi\)

#### 

An ambitious but risky move which I found. 14.\displays

14...f6 15.d6†?

15.ᡚe6 ᡚb6**⇄** 


15.ᡚxe4 \alphae8 16.兔e2 ᡚb6≠

Black has excellent compensation. 19.0–0–0 6 c6 = 6

Black has a second promising alternative in the form of:

#### 

The rook is going directly after the d5-pawn.



#### 13.fxe5

13.\$c4 ₺b6 14.₩e2 e4∓


Black's 12th move was also suggested by Ziegler, who offers the following line: 14.\(\hat{2}g5\)† f6 15.\(\hat{2}e3\)\(\hat{2}g4\) 16.\(\hat{2}d2\)\(\hat{2}f5\)\(\frac{1}{7}\)

14...②xf3† 15.\$xf3 \$\div f8 16.0-0 \$\div d7\vec{\pi}\$

To summarize, it seems Black really is spoiled for choice at move 12. Let us now return to the main line of 12... \( \mathbb{Z} = 8 \) which, as we will soon see, is also promising for him.

From this position it is worth considering both B1) 13.f5 and B2) 13.fxe5.

B1) 13.f5



This move has only been seen in a few games, but it is my engine's first choice. For instructive purposes it is worth analysing **B11**) 13... \( \begin{array}{c} \) b6!? N, but the strongest move for Black is **B12**) 13... \( \epsilon \) 13... \( \epsilon \) 13... \( \epsilon \)

The following alternatives are less appealing:


13...∅f6? 14.fxg6 hxg6 15.∅g5 ⊈f8 16.Ձc4± Inkiov – Hamdouchi, Cap d'Agde 2010.

13...gxf5 looks playable but risky: 14.心h4 ②f6 15.豐c2 f4 16.②f5† 查f8 17.②d6 豐c7 18.②xe8 蛰xe8壸

#### B11) 13...�b6N

Although this is not the move I recommend, I decided to include it mainly for its entertainment value.

#### 14.d6† 空f8 15.臭b5




#### 15...ᡚc6

15...e4 16.ᡚg5 ᠋e5 17.f6 Åh8 18.Åf4 ∐d5 19.∰e2 ᡚc6⇄

#### 16.fxg6 hxg6 17.0–0 e4 18.ᡚg5 åd4† 19.∱h1 f6


Now White has to find some accurate moves:



#### 20.**≜**e3! **Ф**g7 21.**≜**xd4


I have chosen this as the main line for instructive and aesthetic purposes, but it should be noted that 21.\(\delta\)xc6!\(\pm\) is the strongest move.

## 21...②xd4 22.\(\mathbb{L}\)xe8 \(\mathbb{H}\)xe8 23.b4! \(\mathbb{H}\)e5 24.bxc5 fxg5



**25.cxb6 \( \mathbb{E}h8 26.g3**\)
26.h3 \( \Delta \)f3 27.\( \mathbb{E}xf3 \) exf3 28.\( \mathbb{E}c1 \) g4↑

26...e3 27.\ddg4 \ddg15 28.bxa7 \ddg2xg3\dtg1! 29.\ddg2 \ddg2 \ddgxh2\dtg30.\ddgxh2 \ddgxh1\dtg-+



29... \mathbb{Z}xh2! 30. \mathbb{E}f7†!

Both sides are exchanging powerful shots.

30.⊈xh2? ∅xf1† 31.⊈g2 ∰b2†–+


## 30... $\dot{\Phi}$ xf7 31. $\dot{\Xi}$ f3† $\dot{\Phi}$ g7 32. $\dot{\Phi}$ xh2 $\dot{\Phi}$ e2† 33. $\dot{\Phi}$ g2 $\dot{\Phi}$ f4† 34. $\dot{\Phi}$ g3=

Such a sequence would surely win any Best Game Prize if it ever occurred over the board!

B12) 13...e4!

Let's return from fantasy land to concentrate on the stronger move.


#### 14.**包g5 空f8**




#### 15.包xh7†?!

This is excessively greedy. White loses too much time for the sake of an insignificant pawn, while most of his pieces remain undeveloped.

15.fxg6 hxg6 16.彙e2 should be about equal, for instance: 16...彙d4 17.罩f1 心f6 18.罩xf6 兔xf6 19.心h7† 空g7 20.心xf6 空xf6 21.彙h6 This was Feller – Marzolo, Marseille 2009, and here Black should have played:



#### 



White's knight has made a long journey, but just look at his other pieces. Now the punishment comes:

#### 18... ②bc6!!N


This beautiful move emphasizes development above all else!

It is worth comparing this move with the following practical example:

#### 18...c4 19.\(\mathbb{e}\)e2?

19.\( \Delta xg7N \delta xg7\Rightarrow was correct.

Now in Szczesniak – Radecki, corr. 1992, Black could have used the same idea as in our main line, in an even more favourable setting:




#### 19...@bc6!N


#### 19.ᡚxg7 ₾xg7

Now we reach a comical situation where all of White's pieces are on their starting positions!

#### 20.dxc6 2 d3†



#### 21.∯d2




### 21... 包f2 22. 豐b3 e3† 23. 堂c2 e2 24. 豐c3† 堂h7 25. 豐f6!

Only this move can save White.

#### 25...營f5†


25...**②**xh1=



26. 營xf5 gxf5 27. 总f4 bxc6 28. 置g1 exf1=營29. 置axf1 置e2†30. 空b3 置d8=

White is still not altogether out of trouble.

#### B2) 13.fxe5



This is the more popular move.

#### 13...2xe5 14.2b5 2bd7 15.0-0


15. ②xe5 ⊈f8 leads to the same thing.

#### 

This is the main move, although 17... 置e4 is also possible: 18. 增f3 f5 19. 置ae1 置xe1 (19... åd4† 20. 空h1 包f6⇄) 20. 置xe1 a6 21. åf1 åd4† 22. åe3悥 Saint Amour – Hase, Internet 2004.

#### 18.₩d4

The text move leads to an endgame.



#### 18...罩f5

This is my preferred square for the rook.

#### 19.\\xc4\\xc4\20.\\xxc4\\xxb2\21.\\xxd1\\\xexc4\\x\xexc5!

It is important to challenge the strong bishop, even though Black's kingside structure now gets destroyed.

## 22. **Q**h6† 中e7 23. **E**xf5 gxf5 24.g3 **E**g8 25. **E**f1 **E**g6=

Kirsanov – Smirnov, corr. 2002.

#### Conclusion

The Mikenas Attack is not too dangerous, and Black has the luxury of more than one good reply. Players wishing to avoid heavy theory can play 8...dxe5 which leads to a balanced endgame. Those who desire a full-blooded fight will get their wish after 8...\(\Delta\)fd7, and I would encourage the reader to investigate the alternatives analysed at move 12, as they may well be as strong or stronger than the main line.