Introduction

Here I would like to comment briefly on some general aspects of this book.

Contents

This book touches a wide range of subjects related to middlegame strategy. Each subject comes with some explanatory examples, and in the second half of the book you will find related exercises. I am a strong supporter of the intensive use of exercises in the learning process. In my opinion, the closer you can get to a game situation when you are training (or teaching) chess, the faster progress can be expected.

In his excellent work *Logika Sovremennyh Shahmat* (Modern Chess Logic), Belarusian writer and grandmaster Viacheslav Dydyshko mentions that one major problem faced by chess students is that the theoretical knowledge acquired from literature remains "alien" to us for a long time. In the heat of the battle we will probably rely on what our intuition tells us and, unfortunately, that doesn't always coincide with our knowledge.

Advancing his thoughts a little further, it is one thing to read through and understand a couple of Rubinstein's rook endgames, and quite another one to be able to play them like him! Naturally, any new chess knowledge has to be integrated in our mind with such depth that we are able to swiftly apply it in practice, even if a long time has passed since the learning moment. School kids often memorize a lot of facts before an exam, and forget most of it within a few weeks. (I, for one, would not like to repeat today my French or Maths exams from 1990.) In contrast, chess players need to store the information for a long time, and retrieve it without much delay. In this context I believe that exercises, alongside normal games and their posterior analysis, are one of the best tools. Compare the case of learning languages: few persons are able to speak a new language confidently after just reading through a few text and grammar books. What most of us need is practice, practice...

Style and level

I have tried to explain in the most didactical way possible what is actually happening on the board, without an excessive use of analysis, in order not to tire the reader. To experienced players some parts of the book will appear rather basic; and to others, more challenging. Club players will probably see a lot of things for the first time.

Material

There have been many different sources involved in the writing of this book, the most significant ones being *Chess Informant*, ChessBase *Megabase* and *Chess Today*. I have used a lot of my own games and fragments, simply because these are the games that I know best.

Technology

I used *Chess Assistant 8.1* for the elaboration of this book, with *Rybka 2.4* as the main analysis engine, and *Megabase 2008* as a main reference database.

How to read this book?

It makes sense to start with the first, short chapter since it touches upon several concepts that will be present during the rest of the book. In contrast, the rest of the chapters are rather independent, although I often make references to earlier examples. Each chapter is divided into several sections, which can be treated in the following, simple way:

- 1. Go through the explanatory examples;
- 2. Solve the related exercises:
- 3. Compare your solutions with the ones in the book.

Advice for trainers

It is easy to use this book as training material. Choose the section(s) that you need, go through the explanatory examples with your students, and then let them work with the related exercises. In practical terms, I suggest you always have the exercise positions arranged on chessboards. The time needed oscillates roughly between 5 and 20 minutes, depending on the exercise (they are presented in ascending order of difficulty) and the level of the student. The solutions can be presented in written form, or played out against the trainer, which creates a closer feeling for them. Please note that the solutions given in the book are not absolute truths – the important thing is to grasp the general strategical idea in each exercise.

One additional piece of advice: many of the explanatory examples, as well as some of the longer exercises, can easily be used as "quiz" games, where the students should find the best move at determined (for example, five) moments during the game, with the trainer awarding the corresponding points and giving hints if necessary.

Contents

	Bibliography	7
	Preface	9
	Introduction	11
1	Basic Concepts of Strategy	13
	Introduction	13
	A review of the concepts	13
2	Improving the Pieces	28
	The bishop	28
	The knight	44
	The rook	59
	The queen	73
	The king	78
	The bishop pair	86
3	Exchanges	95
	•	
	Realizing a material advantage	95
	Realizing a positional advantage	98
	Eliminating key pieces	103
	Facilitating an attack	107

	Facilitating the defence	112
	Reducing enemy activity	116
	Series of exchanges	124
	Dynamic exchanges	128
4	Pawn Play	134
	Gaining space	134
	Creating weaknesses	142
	Passed pawns	156
	Pawn majorities	168
	Pawn chains	179
	Dynamics	190
5	Prophylaxis	204
	Restriction	204
	Prophylaxis	211
	Provocation	222
6	Miscellaneous	232
	Weak squares	232
	Weak pawns	239
	Doubled pawns	249
	Blockade	259
	The initiative	273
	The link between tactics and strategy	288
	Exercises	299
	1-20: The bishop	301
	21-40: The knight	304
	41-60: The rook	307
	61-69: The queen	311
	70-81: The king	312
	82-90: The bishop pair	315
	91-96: Exchanges – material advantage	316
	97-104: Exchanges – positional advantage	317
	105-114: Exchanges – key pieces	319

115-126: Exchanges – attack	321
127-136: Exchanges – defence	323
137-148: Exchanges – activity	325
149-154: Series of exchanges	327
155-162: Dynamic exchanges	328
163-174: Gaining space	329
175-192: Creating weaknesses	331
193-208: Passed pawns	334
209-218: Pawn majorities	337
219-228: Pawn chains	338
229-246: Dynamics	340
247-266: Restriction	343
267-286: Prophylaxis	347
287-306: Provocation	350
307-314: Weak squares	<i>353</i>
315-324: Weak pawns	<i>355</i>
325-334: Doubled pawns	356
335-350: Blockade	358
351-366: The initiative	361
367-382: The link between tactics and strategy	363
Solutions	367
Index of players	482

Chapter Three

Exchanges

The exchange of pieces is an important idea at any stage of the game, one that can have a huge impact on the strategical balance. The following examples have been categorized according to the principal objective being supported by the exchange. However, it should be noted that sometimes one single exchange can support several objectives.

Realizing a material advantage


One of the first strategical principles taught to new chess players is that when you have more material, exchange pieces! Thus we are able to reduce the opponent's counterplay and simplify to an endgame, where the material advantage can be more easily realized. Let's see a few examples.

Example 76

Z.Efimenko-R.Vaganian
German League 2005

In this late middlegame position White is two pawns up but his king is rather ex-

posed on a1. Efimenko finds a technical solution.



Forcing a queen exchange that will finish off Black's counterplay and produce a superior endgame. When carrying out such exchanges, we should be ready to return some of the extra material if needed, like the f7-pawn in this case. In contrast, the greedy 34 \(\delta\bar{b}\)3? failed to 34...\(\delta\cdot{c}\)3+ 35 \(\delta\bar{b}\)1 \(\delta\cdot{x}\)b3+ with a perpetual.

34...\₩xd4+

After 34... e7 35 &b3 Black's counter-

play is gone, while 34... wxc4? fails to 35 wd6+ xf7 36 wxb8 c3+ 37 wb2 etc.


35 \(\bar{2}\) xc4 \(\bar{2}\) xc4 \(\bar{2}\) xc4 \(\bar{2}\) xc4 \(\bar{2}\) xf7 \(37\) a4!

Passed pawns should move forward! In the game, afflicted by heavy time trouble, Efimenko preferred 37 \(\mathbb{Z} = 4?! \), when Black could have put up some resistance by 37...\(\mathbb{Z} d 8! \) \(\mathbb{Z} = 2 \) \(\mathbb{Z} a 8! \), hindering the advance of the a-pawn – Meyer/Efimenko.

37...**∲e6 38 ∲a2**

White wins by bringing up his king; e.g. 38... 造b7 39 堂a3 堂d5 40 堂c2 罩a7 41 堂b4 罩b7+ 42 堂c3 (42 堂a5 is also possible) 42... 罩c7+ 43 堂d3 罩a7 (after 43... 罩xc2 44 堂xc2 堂c4 45 a5 the white king is first to reach the kingside) 44 罩a2 罩a5 45 罩a1! with a position reminiscent of the famous game A.Alekhine-J.R.Capablanca, World Championship (game 34), Buenos Aires 1927.

Example 77 R.Djurhuus-J.Hellsten Asker 1997



White is two pawns down but is close to recovering one of them and enjoys a fair amount of activity for the other one. His next moves might be $\triangle xa3$, $\Xi a2$ and $\triangle b5$ with some initiative.

13...₩b6!

Looking for a queen exchange to reduce White's initiative and lead the game into an endgame with an extra pawn.

14 **₩c2**

After 14 $\$ d1? $\$ b2 15 $\$ bd2 $\$ xc3 the white queenside collapses, while 14 $\$ b5 can be met by 14... $\$ d7 15 $\$ xa3 a6! 16 $\$ a4 $\$ c8 and White is in trouble.

14...⊮b2!

In the same spirit of the previous move. Now the queen exchange is inevitable.

15 豐xb2 axb2 16 罩a2 皇d7 17 罩xb2 0-0-0 18 ②bd2 罩dg8!

As often happens, a material advantage is transformed into a positional one, or vice versa. In this case, Black returns the second pawn in order to swap the opponent's most active piece.


19 🗓 xg8+ 🗒 xg8 20 🚊 xh7 🗒 f8 21 🖄 g1

It is not easy to find any prospects for White's minor pieces.

21...b5! 22 🖗 e2


Or 22 \(\bar{2}\)xd4 23 \(\bar{2}\)b1 \(\Omega\)c6 and the e5-pawn is in trouble.

22...b4



Hitting the base of the pawn chain. Thanks to the bishop pair, the passed apawn and White's weaknesses, Black is clearly better.

Example 78 E.Sveshnikov-D.King Bern 1992



Black has sacrificed a pawn in return for a slight initiative. He is now planning either ...b5-b4, intimidating the bishop on a3 and softening up White's queenside, or 15...2f4 with kingside activity and pressure on d3. Sveshnikov resorts to a simple idea, always worthy of consideration in positions with a material advantage: swap off pieces.

15 ②e3!

Thus White ensures at least one piece exchange, and avoids the ...b5-b4 advance being effected with tempo.

After 15... \triangle xe3?! 16 fxe3, followed by e3-e4, White seizes the centre, while 15... \triangle e6 16 \triangle xd5 \triangle xd5 17 d4 \triangle fd8 18 \triangle d2! also favours him, now that 18... e5? runs into 19 c4 \triangle e6 20 d5.

16 **②**xf5 **ÿ**xf5 17 g3!

Looking for more exchanges. Not 17 罩e1 罩fd8 18 d4 e5 19 호b5 營c8!, when 20 호xc6 營xc6 21 公xe5?? fails to 21...營xq2 mate.


17...**∕**∆xe2+

Otherwise the knight will just become misplaced.

18 營xe2 罩fd8 19 d4 罩ac8

Or 19...e5 20 dxe5 ②xe5 21 ③xe5 ②xe5 22 Ife1 with a sound extra pawn.

20 **≦fe1 e6 21 ₩e4!**



Sveshnikov continues with his simplification policy.

21...⊮xe4?!

Being a pawn down, queen exchanges should be rejected unless there is a good reason. Black could count on more practical chances after 21... as, when White still has a slight problem with the bishop on a3.

Black covers the e7-square, aware that after 22...②a5 23 ②e7! \$\tilde{\textit{Z}}\)d7 24 \$\tilde{\textit{Z}}\)5 \$\tilde{\textit{C}}\)c4 25 \$\tilde{\textit{Z}}\)e2 White may soon start to advance his queenside pawn majority, with moves such as \$\tilde{\textit{Z}}\)d1, \$\tilde{\textit{L}}\)c1, \$\tilde{\textit{L}}\)e2, \$\tilde{\textit{L}}\)b2-b3, \$\tilde{\textit{L}}\)b2 and c3-c4.

23 b3!?

Avoiding the ... 2a5-c4 plan and enabling 2a3-b2. In contrast, the game continued 23 2ae1 2a5 24 2d2 2d5 (24...e5!? is also interesting) and White's queenside was somewhat paralysed, although Sveshnikov won in the end.

23...e5

A temporary pawn sacrifice to create some counterplay. Besides, the exchange of pawns tends to favour the defending side.

24 dxe5

Another good option is 24 ②xe5 ②xe5 25 dxe5 〖xc3 26 ﴿£d6 〖c2 27 〖d4!, parrying the threat of 27... 〖xd6 while preparing a2-a4 and b4-b5.

24... Id3 25 \$g2 Ixc3 26 Iae1


Preparing to answer 26...\(\mathbb{Z}\)c22 by 27 \(\mathbb{Z}\)1e2. With \(\mathbb{L}\)a3-d6 coming up, White enjoys a sound extra pawn in the endgame.

For the related exercises, see page 316.

Realizing a positional advantage

The previous examples showed how the realization of a material advantage was facilitated by exchanges. This also applies to positional advantages, such as the bishop pair (compare Miles-Sunye Neto, p. 86), weak points in the enemy pawn structure, a mobile pawn majority, etc. Let's see a few examples.

Example 79 A.Kharlov-A.Villavicencio Martinez Linares 1997



The structure with an isolated queen's pawn is typical for this topic. White is

happy to swap pieces since the weak d5-pawn will make any endgame favourable for him. Black, on the other hand, should avoid exchanges and try to exploit the dynamic advantages of the isolated pawn, such as more space and the strong squares on e4 and c4.


12 **≜e**3!

A key move, in order to trade bishops before Black manages to play 12...2f5.

12...≜xe3

Black has no choice since 12...\(\delta\)c7? loses material after 13 \(\delta\)c5!.

13 罩xe3 臭g4 14 h3 臭h5



15 <u></u>e2!

Preparing more exchanges.

15...≌ad8 16 ②fd4! ②xd4?

16....皇g6 was correct, keeping more pieces on the board, when 17 營d2 followed by 單d1 or 罩e1 leads to a slight white advantage.

17 ②xd4 ዿxe2 18 ₩xe2 ②c6 19 \(\bar{2} \)d1!


The last piece is brought into play with focus on the d5-pawn. In contrast, the further exchange by 19 ②xc6?! permits 19...bxc6!, when the d5-pawn is no longer a problem and might even turn into a passed pawn after ...c6-c5 and ...d5-d4.

19...②xd4?!

Maintaining the tension with 19... \wdot\dot\dot\dot\dot\

seems better. If now 20 Ξ ed3, then 20... Ξ fe8 preparing ... \triangle e5-c4 with some activity.

20 罩xd4 豐c6 21 豐d2!



Evidently, the absence of minor pieces on the board has made it easier for White to increase the pressure on the d5-pawn.

21... \(\bar{2}\) d6 22 \(\bar{2}\) ed3 \(\bar{2}\) fd8 23 c4!

A typical tactical resource in the fight against isolated pawns.

23...h6 24 \(\mathbb{Z}\)xd5 \(\mathbb{Z}\)xd5 \(\mathbb{Z}\)xd5

A pawn up, White is happy to exchange as many pieces as he can.

25...**≝xd**5?!

25... \$\mu 8 \, 26 \$\mu d8 \, h5 \, was \, preferable when, contrary to the game, White still has to work for a while to create a passed pawn.

26 cxd5!

The apparently logical 26 wxd5? wxd5 27 cxd5 fails to 27... f8 and Black's king soon eliminates the extra pawn.

26...\degree de de de la 26...\degree de de la 27 de la 27

Centralization is a key idea in queen endings, in order to exploit the range of this piece fully.


27...a6 28 \$\displaystyle{c}\$f1 \$\displaystyle{c}\$f8 29 \$\displaystyle{c}\$e2 \$\displaystyle{c}\$e7+ 30 \$\displaystyle{c}\$e3 \$\displaystyle{c}\$b4?

In a difficult endgame Black overlooks the opponent's idea.

31 **wa3! waa 32 bxa3**

Now the queen exchange is correct since the d5-pawn can be defended. The pawn ending concluded 32... 堂e7 33 堂d3 堂d6 34 堂c4 b6 35 a4 h5 36 h4 g6 37 g3 f6 38 f4 堂d7 39 a5! bxa5 40 堂c5 a4 41 a3 and Black resigned.

Example 80 **S.Brynell-K.Moberg**Gothenburg 1996



This structure, typical of the Spanish Exchange variation, is another case where virtually any piece trade clearly favours one of the players. Black possesses the bishop pair but also suffers from a defective pawn structure, in the sense that his queenside pawn majority cannot be easily converted into a passed pawn. Thus White's next move suggests itself.


11 &f4!

Depriving Black of the bishop pair, and taking the game one step further towards an endgame, where the pawn structure speaks in White's favour. For this reason, contemporary games in this variation usually see Black postponing or omitting ... £f8-d6.

11...0-0-0 12 **\(\beta\)**e7

Or 12... &xf4 13 xd8+ xd8 14 xf4 followed by xd1+ with similar consequences.

13 \(\alpha\) ad1 \(\alpha\) xf4 \(\alpha\) xf4 \(\alpha\) f7



15 f3

A natural move that prepares \$\delta f2-e3, but 15 \$\mathbb{Z}xd8+! \$\mathbb{Z}xd8 16 \$\mathbb{Z}xd8+ \delta xd8 17 f3 was more precise - Brynell. By exchanging both pairs of rooks, White reduces the opponent's counterplay and avoids any drawish rook endgames.

15... \(\mathbb{I}\) xd2 \(\mathbb{I}\) d8?!

16... **E**e8!, keeping the rook on the board, was preferable for reasons explained in the previous note.

17 \(\bar{2}\) xd8+ \(\bar{2}\) xd8 18 \(\bar{2}\) f2

Now White has a small but enduring advantage thanks to his mobile pawn majority on the kingside.

18...**Ġd7 19 Ġe3 Ġd6 20 Ѽd3**

Clearing the way for the f-pawn.

20...\(\hat{2}\)g6?!


A passive diagonal for the bishop. 20...b5 was more natural, trying to make progress on the queenside. Now the tempting 21 e5+ fxe5 22 2e4+ doesn't really achieve much after 22...\$d5! 23 2dxc5 a5. Thus 21 a3!?, safeguarding the a-pawn, might be a better try and if 21...2c6, then White plays 22 2e2, preventing the knight jump to d4 -

Brynell (who kindly supplied me with some comments on this game).

21 g4!

Gaining some space before advancing the f- and e-pawns.

21...c4 22 2e1 c5 23 f4 b5 24 2f3 2c6



25 e5+!

Using some minor tactics Brynell finally manages to break through on the kingside.

25...fxe5 26 f5 &e8 27 2e4+ ee7

28 c3!

Less technical was 28 ②xc5?! ②b4 with counterplay.

28...a5

Black has run out of active plans and from now on White just has to advance his kingside majority to achieve the passed pawn. The c5-pawn can wait.

29 h4 h5 30 g5! g6?

Leaving the opponent with a protected passed pawn can't be right, but there was no satisfactory defence to 31 f6+ anyway.

31 f6+ \$\div e6 32 a4!

Before taking on c5, White softens up the c4-pawn.

32...b4 33 ②xc5+ \$d5 34 ②b7!


Tying the black knight to the defence of the a-pawn.

34... \$\dagger{2} f7 35 \$\alpha\$ d2 bxc3 36 bxc3 \$\alpha\$ d8?

Black resigned without waiting for 37 $\triangle xd8$. In any case, even without this timetrouble blunder, he was lost in view of the inevitable $\triangle e4(xc4)-d6$.

Of course there are also pawn structures where the simplifications tend to favour Black. One case is the Open Sicilian, where White, in the event of an unsuccessful attack, often ends up in an inferior endgame. Here is one simple example.

Example 81
S.Bouaziz-V.Jansa
Sarajevo 1979



By means of an earlier e4-e5 advance White gained some space and took steps to a future kingside attack. However, from a long-term perspective the e5-pawn is weak, while the queenside pawn structure also favours Black, whose pawn on b5 restricts the white majority. In this context, Jansa's subsequent play is easy to understand.

17...≜xf3

Also interesting is 17...b4!?, asking the question of the knight; e.g. 18 \triangle e4?! \triangle xe5

or 18 \(\) xc6 \(\) xc6 19 \(\) e4 \(\) c4! in the same spirit as the game continuation.

18 **\(\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{xf3} \end{array}\)**

After 18 \(\exists xf3?! \) \(\texists xe5 \) 19 \(\exists g3 \) f6 Black will have a clear advantage in the endgame due to the backward c-pawn.

18...⊮c4!

A key move, in order to transpose to a favourable endgame. Less clear is 18...b4?!
19 ②e4 ②xe5 20 罩g3 with ideas like ₩h5 and ②q5.

19 **₩xc4**

Or 19 響f2!? b4 20 b3 掌c5!, insisting on the queen swap; e.g. 21 ②e4 豐xf2 22 罩xf2 ②b6 followed by ②d5, with a comfortable edge.

The bishop exchange will further emphasize White's problems with the espawn.


22 罩d2?!

22 a3 was a lesser evil, avoiding the course of the game, when Black could consider a timely ...a6-a5 followed by ...b5-b4.

22...b4 23 🖾 d1 🎕 xe3 24 🖺 xe3

After 24 ②xe3? \(\bigsize e4 \) the e5-pawn falls.

24... 4 d5 25 Eee2 Efc8 26 g3




White is doomed to passivity and Jansa proceeds with the creation of a new weakness.

26... **4c5! 27 堂g2 h5 28 堂f3 罩a5** Winning a pawn.

29 2e3 2xe3 30 xe3 xe3 xa2 31 b3 a5 Black soon realized his extra pawn.

Example 82 Y.Seirawan-Alexa.Ivanov US Championship, Salt Lake City 1999



Black is about to take a major decision: to exchange the queens or not?

15...**₩xd2+**?

16 **\$xd2 a4 17 2**e1!

Heading for the protected square at c5. **17...f6?!**

17... \blacksquare a6! was correct, preventing the \triangle d3-c5 manoeuvre. After 18 \triangle c5 \triangle xc5 19 dxc5 \triangle d7 20 \triangle d3, preparing \blacksquare a3 and \blacksquare fa1, White has a slight edge due to the weak a4-pawn, but nothing more.

18 🖾 d3 fxe5 19 dxe5

With a clear grip on the queenside.

19...\$f7 20 f4 \$e7 21 h4!

Preventing the ...g7-g5 counter-strike. Here, or later, 21...h6 is well met by 22 h5, freezing the pawn structure.


21...\(\bar{\pi}\)c8 22 \(\bar{\pi}\)bc5

Just in time, before Black goes ...c6-c5. Now the a4-pawn has turned into a serious problem.

22...22...句b6 23 罩a2 🖾8d7

Or 23...\(\mathbb{Z}\)c7 24 \(\mathbb{Z}\)fa1 \(\mathbb{Z}\)ca7 25 b3! a3 26 c3 intending \(\alpha\)b4-c2.

24 \(\bar{2}\) fa1 \(\hat{2}\) xc5 \(25 \) \(\hat{2}\) xc5 \(\bar{2}\) cb8 26 b3!



The cleanest way of conquering the a4-pawn. 26 ②xa4?! ②xa4 27 〖xa4 〖xa4 〖xa4 28 〖xa4 〖xb2 is less clear.

26...axb3?

Any other move allows 27 ②xa4 with a decisive endgame plus, but now Black gets much bigger problems after 27 ¾a7+! ¾xa7 28 ¾xa7+ \$\displace{e}{e}8 29 cxb3. In fact, Ivanov resigned without waiting for 27 ¾a7+.

For the related exercises to this section, see page 317.