Contents

Conventions and Terminology			
Introd	9		
8	Rook Endings	11	
8.1	Introduction	11	
8.2	Rook vs Pawns	14	
8.2.1	Hesitation Checks	14	
8.2.2	Rook vs Two Pawns	16	
8.2.3	Rook vs Three Pawns	18	
8.3	Rook and Pawns vs Pawns	22	
8.3.1	King Activity	26	
8.3.2	Rook Sacrifice	28	
8.3.3	Stalemate	29	
8.4	Rook and Pawns vs Rook and Pawns	30	
8.4.1	Fifth-Rank Cut-Off	30	
8.4.2	The Rook Switch	38	
8.4.3	Common Error: Rook Behind Passed Pawn	43	
8.4.4	Lasker Manoeuvre	45	
8.4.5	Common Error: Checking Distance	49	
8.4.6	Reciprocal Zugzwang	56	
8.4.7	Liquidation to a Pawn Ending	67	
8.4.8	Common Error: Promoting Too Soon	73	
8.4.9	Stalemate	77	
8.5	Rook and Pawn vs Rook	91	
8.5.1	Centre Pawn	91	
8.5.2	Bishop's Pawn	94	
8.5.3	Knight's Pawn	97	
8.5.4	Rook's Pawn	99	
8.6	Rook and Two Pawns vs Rook	108	
8.6.1	Introduction	108	
8.6.2	Connected Pawns	109	
8.6.2a	Blockade	109	
8.6.2b	One Pawn is Too Far Advanced	120	
8.6.2c	Stalemate	121	
8.6.3	Disconnected Pawns	122	
8.6.3a	a-Pawn + h-Pawn	122	
8.6.3b	f-Pawn + h-Pawn	128	

8.6.3c	Other Disconnected Pawns	134
8.6.4	Doubled Pawns	148
8.7	Rook and Pawn vs Rook and Pawn	152
8.7.1	The Defender's Pawn Gets in the Way	152
8.7.2	Pawns on the Same File	155
8.7.3	Pawns on Adjacent Files, Not Passed	161
8.7.4	Pawns on Adjacent Files, Passed	167
8.7.5	Pawns at Least Two Files Apart	171
8.7.6	Transformation to a Queen Ending	178
8.8	Rook and Two Pawns vs Rook and Pawn	183
8.8.1	No Passed Pawns	183
8.8.2	One Passed Pawn	188
8.8.2a	The Attacker's Pawns are Connected	188
8.8.2b	The Attacker's Pawns are Not Connected	191
8.8.3	All the Pawns are Passed	199
8.8.3a	The Attacker's Pawns are Connected	200
8.8.3b	The Attacker's Pawns are Not Connected	213
8.8.4	The Single Pawn has the Advantage	218
8.9	The Outside Passed Pawn	219
8.9.1	The Attacker's Rook is Behind the Pawn	219
8.9.2	The Attacker's Rook is to the Side of the Pawn	230
8.9.3	The Attacker's Rook is in Front of the Pawn	235
8.9.4	Other Cases	242
8.10	Both Sides have Connected Passed Pawns	251
8.11	Miscellaneous Tactical Ideas	264
8.11.1	Triangulation	264
8.11.2	Breakthrough	266
8.11.3	Perpetual Check	268
8.11.4	Mate	269
8.11.5	Positional Draw	271
8.12	Other Ideas in Rook Endings	273
8.13	Four-Rook Endings	286
9	Endings with Rooks and Minor Pieces	289
9.1	Introduction	289
9.2	Advantage of the Exchange	289
9.2.1	Rook and Pawn vs Knight and Pawn	290
9.2.2	More Pawns	294
9.2.3	The Knight has the Advantage	300
9.2.4	Rook and Pawn vs Bishop and Pawn	303
9.2.4a	Pawns on the Same File	304
9.2.4b	Pawns on Adjacent Files	308
9.2.4c	Both Pawns Passed	313
9.2.5	More Pawns	322
9.2.6	The Bishop has the Advantage	328

CONTENTS

9.3	Advantage of a Piece	330
9.4	Stalemate	334
9.5	Attacking the King and Mating Ideas	337
9.6	Hesitation Checks	344
9.7	Pawn Promotion	347
Index of Players		349

2...d3 3 볼f2+ 솔e3 4 볼e2+ 솔d4 5 볼e8 g3 6 볼h8! g2 7 얔f2 d2 8 볼d8+ 얔c3 9 볼c8+ is an easy draw.

3 🖆 f1!

The only way to draw is to play for stalemate.

3...d3

3...g2+4 堂g1 堂xe2 is the first of two stalemates.

4 \[f2+! 1/2-1/2

4 Ξ a2 also draws, but playing for a second stalemate is more forcing. If Black declines the rook by 4... \doteq e3, White draws with 5 hxg3 fxg3 6 Ξ f8 d2 7 Ξ e8+.

Summary:

Stalemate ideas occur occasionally in endings in which only one side has a rook. The trick we saw above (with w堂f1, 邕f2 vs b堂f3, 念g3) is a typical stalemating idea which is also important in some positions with 邕+念 vs 罩.

8.4 Rook and Pawns vs Rook and Pawns

We now move on to the main subject of this book: rook endings in which both sides have a rook. The traditional method of covering rook endings is to start with rook and pawn vs rook and then move on to positions with more pawns. However, the philosophy behind this book is not to repeat theoretical information which may be found in many other endgame books, but to move directly on to the practical implications of the theory. Accordingly, the first part of this section focuses on some ideas which you won't find in most theoretical books. Because these ideas are generally not spelt out explicitly, many players are unaware of how widespread they are and so they are often overlooked in overthe-board play. After exploring these general concepts, I shall move on to an examination of different material balances. However, even here I won't conduct a case-by-case study; instead, I shall focus on the tricky points and common oversights which often result in these endings being misplayed.

8.4.1 Fifth-Rank Cut-Off

This is one of the most important concepts in rook and pawn endings, yet in most books you will only find it in the section 'rook vs pawn', which conceals its wide application.



Here's the basic idea. If White plays 1 d8豐? 罩xd8+ 2 會xd8, then 2...會g5 3 會c7 h4 4 會c6 h3 5 會c5 會g4 leads to a draw. It's almost a reflex to promote and win the enemy rook as soon as you can, but it's wrong here. Instead, White's only winning move is **1 罩a5!**, with a *fifth-rank cut-off.* The first point is that 1...h4 2 d8響 罩xd8+ 3 會xd8 is now a win because if Black ever pushes his pawn with ...h3, then White wins it by 罩a3 and 罩h3. Thus White has time to bring his king back, with an easy win. The point of 罩a5 is to cut Black's king off and prevent it from supporting the h-pawn. Achieving this is White's priority and is more urgent than promoting White's own pawn.

Black can also try meeting $1 \equiv 5$ by $1 \dots \equiv c2+$, but then $2 \stackrel{o}{\cong} b7 \equiv d2 3 \stackrel{o}{\cong} c7$ reveals a second key feature of the fifth-rank cut-off. If Black continues checking by $3 \dots \equiv c2+4 \stackrel{o}{\cong} d6 \equiv d2+$, then White wins with $5 \equiv d5$. This is no accident, but an inevitable consequence of White's rook position.

Based on this example, the fifth-rank cut-off hardly looks like a difficult idea, but the above position is an idealized theoretical example, with all the pieces placed to make it as clear as possible. It's deceptive to look mainly at such theoretical examples, in which messy practical details have been eliminated by careful construction. Ideas that seem obvious in such simplified positions can easily be overlooked in over-the-board play, where there are often confusing alternatives and complex sidelines to consider. It is for this reason that this book deals with practical examples, so that readers can gain experience in picking out the crucial elements from irrelevant distractions.

Due to its importance, we shall look at several examples in which the fifth-rank cut-off plays a crucial role. In the first position there is as yet no sign of a cut-off, but Black finds the winning idea and executes it precisely.



Yusupov – Tseshkovsky Moscow (4 teams) 1981

Black has various ways to win White's rook for the c-pawn, but only one of these leads to a win. In order to find the correct path, Black must calculate the results of various endings with a rook against a pawn.

1...**¤f1+!**

The only winning move, which crucially prevents White from playing his own rook to the f-file. If Black plays 1... 堂d3?, then White draws by 2 罩f2! hxg3 3 堂xg3 c3 4 h4 c2 5 罩xc2 쓸xc2 6 堂f4! (keeping Black's king at bay; 6 堂g4? loses to 6... 堂d3 7 h5 堂e4) 6... 堂d3 7 h5 罩h1 8 堂g5 堂e4 9 堂g6 堂e5 10 h6 罩g1+ 11 堂f7!, reaching a standard drawn position. 1...hxg3? also fails to win after 2 堂xg3 堂d3 3 罩f2, transposing to the above line.

2 **∲g4 hxg3 3 ≝d2+**

White attempts to drive Black's king to an inferior position before surrendering his rook. After 3 $rac{4}{2}xg3$ $rac{4}{2}d3$ Black wins precisely because his rook occupies the f-file so that after 4 $ac{2}{2}a2 c35 h4 c26
ac{2}{2}xc2$ White is unable to play his king to f4 as in the previous note. Then Black wins by means of $7 rac{4}{2}d38 h5 rac{4}{2}e49$ $ac{4}{2}g5 rac{4}{2}e5 10 rac{4}{2}g6 rac{4}{2}e6 11 h6
ac{2}{2}g1+, etc.$

3....ģe3

3...堂c3? 4 罩g2 leads to a draw since Black must waste time before advancing his c-pawn. 4 罩g2

The key line is $4 \equiv c2 \equiv f4+5 \Leftrightarrow xg3$ (after 5 $\Leftrightarrow g5 \equiv f8 6 \Leftrightarrow g6 g2$ Black wins at once) 5... $\equiv d4!$ and Black wins because of the fifth-rank cutoff; for example, 6 h4 $\Leftrightarrow d3$ 7 $\equiv f2$ c3 8 $\equiv f3+$ $\Leftrightarrow c4$ 9 $\equiv f2 \Leftrightarrow b3$ 10 h5 c2 11 $\equiv f3+ \Leftrightarrow b2$ 12 $\equiv f2$ $\Leftrightarrow b1$ 13 $\equiv xc2 \Leftrightarrow xc2$ and White cannot push his pawn as it is simply lost after 14 h6 $\equiv d6$ 15 h7 $\equiv h6$. Therefore Black can just bring his king back to round up the h-pawn.

4....⊒f4+! 5 🖄xg3 c3

The fifth-rank cut-off is decisive just as in the note to White's 4th move.

6 h4 邕c4 7 邕c2 営d3 8 邕c1 c2 9 h5 営d2 10 邕h1 c1豐 11 邕xc1 営xc1 0-1

The following example shows a more complex case in which Black overlooked the possibility of a fifth-rank cut-off.



Makovsky – K.D. Müller e-mail 2000

In this position Black has a monster passed c-pawn and is threatening an immediate win by

....Zd1. White can use his front f-pawn to deflect Black's rook, but the power of the c-pawn is so great that Black has time to eliminate the far-advanced f-pawn and still win. However, a couple of good moves are required and Black was not up to the task, even in a correspondence game.

1 f6

This is the only way to meet the threat of ... \[d1, making use of the sole feature of the position that favours White: the fact that his fpawn can promote with check.

1....\alphadelted 1....\alphadelted 1....\alphadelted 1....\alphadelted 1....\alphadelted 1....\alphadelted 1....\alphadelted 1....\alphadelted 1...\alphadelted 1...\alphadelte

After 2 f7 Ξ f6 3 Ξ e3+ \Leftrightarrow b2 4 Ξ f3 c1 \cong 5 Ξ xf6 \cong g5+ Black picks up the rook and wins. 2... Ξ xf6 3 \Leftrightarrow f3 (D)

White tries to get his king to the other side of the pawn, so as to keep Black's king away from the f-pawn after he gives up his rook for the cpawn. The alternative is $3 \stackrel{\circ}{\cong} g4$, but then Black wins by 3... $\Xi c6 4 \stackrel{\circ}{\cong} f5 (4 f5 c1 \stackrel{w}{=} 5 \stackrel{\Xi}{=} xc1 \stackrel{\Xi}{=} xc1$ $6 \stackrel{\circ}{=} f4 \stackrel{\circ}{=} b4 7 \stackrel{\circ}{=} e5 \stackrel{\circ}{=} c5 8 \stackrel{\circ}{=} e6 \stackrel{\circ}{=} c6 9 f6 \stackrel{\Xi}{=} e1 +$ and $4 \stackrel{\Xi}{=} c1 \stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{=} b2 5 \stackrel{\Xi}{=} h1 c1 \stackrel{w}{=} also win for Black)$ $4...c1 \stackrel{w}{=} 5 \stackrel{\Xi}{=} xc1 \stackrel{\Xi}{=} xc1 6 \stackrel{\circ}{=} e6 \stackrel{\Xi}{=} e1 + ! 7 \stackrel{\circ}{=} d6 \stackrel{\Xi}{=} f1$ $8 \stackrel{\circ}{=} e5 \stackrel{\circ}{=} b4 9 f5 \stackrel{\circ}{=} c5 10 \stackrel{\circ}{=} e6 \stackrel{\circ}{=} c6 11 f6 \stackrel{\Xi}{=} e1 +$ followed by ... $\stackrel{\circ}{=} d7$. 264 263 8 f5 264 9 265 265 10 266 266 is winning for Black as before) 4...<math>264!, followed by ...264!, followed by ...264!, and the fifth-rank cut-off is decisive. 4 264!

White cannot play 堂e4 at once due to the skewer, but by moving his rook away from the vulnerable e1-square he threatens to play his king to e4. Oddly, 4 堂g4! draws as well since Black's king is in many ways worse placed on b2 than on a3, because it takes longer to reach f8; after 4...置c6 5 f5 c1響 6 罩xc1 罩xc1 7 堂g5 堂c3 8 f6 堂d4 9 f7 罩f1 10 堂g6 堂e5 11 堂g7 White is just in time to draw.

4...罩h6

5 **Zg1**

White is now out of danger.

5.... 当h2 6 学e4 当e2+ 7 学d5 当f2 8 学e5 当d2 9 f5 当d1 ½-½

10 逼g2 draws easily.

In the following position, White can win by making the most of the rook's ability to operate along the ranks, but he went wrong almost immediately and let Black escape.



This is the key moment. It's not obvious that Black can establish a fifth-rank cut-off, but without it he cannot win.

3...∲b2?

An automatic but wrong move. Black could have won by 3... 邕c6! (threatening to promote) 4 f5 (after 4 邕h1 or 4 肇g4 Black just promotes, while 4 邕c1 肇b2 5 邕h1 c1響 6 邕xc1 邕xc1 7



F. Schubert – U. Dietrich *e-mail* 2001

The key to the position is the use of the white rook to cut off the enemy king along a rank. First the rook must be used along the seventh rank to confine Black's king to the back rank, but later the rook must be switched to create a fifth-rank cut-off. 1 b5 \[201]

There is nothing better. 1... $\ddagger 7$ loses to 2 $\blacksquare c6! \blacksquare d7+3 \pounds c5 \pounds f7 4 b6 \pounds c7 5 \pounds b5 \blacksquare d5+6 \pounds a6 \pounds d7 7 \blacksquare c7+ \pounds d8 8 \blacksquare c1 \blacksquare d6 9 \pounds a7 ाd2$ $10 b7 三 a2+11 \pounds b6 三 b2+12 \pounds c6 \pounds c7 13 ाc5$ $<math>\pounds d8 14 \blacksquare d5+ \pounds c7 15 \blacksquare b5$ and the pawn promotes, while 1...h5 loses the pawn after 2 $\blacksquare h6+$ $\pounds g7 3 \blacksquare xh5$, with a simple technical win for White.

2 \[d6?

2 罩c6? is also bad since Black draws by 2...邕b1 3 b6 h5 4 當c5 當g7 5 當d6 h4 6 當c7 h3 7 邕c2 堂g6. White had only one move to win, and that was 2 \[26]b7!, keeping the enemy king confined to the back rank for the moment. After 2...h5 (2... 罩b1 3 堂c5 h5 4 罩a7 transposes) 3 $\exists a7!$ (the idea is to allow the rook to switch to the fifth rank later: 3 邕e7! also wins, but not 3 b6? 邕b1 4 堂c5 邕c1+5 堂d6 邕b1 6 堂c7 邕c1+7 當d8 邕b1! with a draw as White cannot free his rook, nor 3 罩f7? 罩b1 4 當c5 當g8 5 罩a7 h4 6 b6 h3 and Black draws since his king is now on g8, so White does not have the manoeuvre Za3 and \Lambda h3+) 3...\Lambda b1 4 含c5 \Lambda c1+ (4...h4 5 b6 h3 6 In the section of th 7 \$b7 (White's play is counter-intuitive, since he now blocks the rook's action along the seventh rank; however, the release of Black's king doesn't help the defence much, since White is ready to create a new cut-off by b6 and 邕a5) 7... 堂g7 8 b6 (*D*) Black can try:

1) 8...当b1 9 堂c7! (the only move to win as White must cover all the squares the pawn needs to cross to reach the eighth rank; 9 堂c6+? 堂g6 10 罩a5 h4 11 b7 h3 gives Black an extra tempo which allows him to draw) 9... 含g6 10 罩a5 h4 11 b7 and White wins since 11... 罩c1+ 12 含b6 罩b1+ may be met by 13 罩b5.

2) 8... 堂g6 9 罩a5! (here comes the fifth-rank cut-off) 9...h4 10 堂a7! (the only move to win; 10 堂a8? is wrong because after 10...h3 Black will promote with check) 10...h3 11 b7 h2 12 b8營 h1營 (White gets the first check and this gives him a decisive attack) 13 營d6+ 堂h7 (if the rook can enter the attack by checking on f5 or g5, mate occurs within a few moves) 14 營d7+ 塗h8 15 營e8+ 堂h7 16 罩h5+, winning the queen.

2...∲g7

Now Black is safe as it takes White far too long to set the b-pawn in motion.

3 b6 h5 4 🖄 d5 h4 5 b7 🖺 b1 1/2-1/2

The following position is an interesting example of how the half-point can be handed back and forth, even in a relatively recent correspondence game.



Callow – Petters *Chessfriend.com* 2004

White's pawn is further advanced and his king is much better placed, but Black has chances of drawing with his h-pawn after he gives up his rook for the b-pawn. Indeed, if Black defends carefully, the result should be a draw, but it's a tough defensive challenge. 1....\Ze5+!

The only move. After 1... $\stackrel{\circ}{\cong}$ g7? 2 b5 $\stackrel{\circ}{\cong}$ g6 3 b6 $\stackrel{\circ}{\cong}$ f5 (3...h5 4 b7 $\stackrel{\simeq}{\equiv}$ e5 $\stackrel{\circ}{\cong}$ b6 $\stackrel{\simeq}{\equiv}$ e6+ 6 $\stackrel{\circ}{\cong}$ a7 $\stackrel{\simeq}{\equiv}$ e7 fails to the fifth-rank cut-off 7 $\stackrel{\simeq}{\equiv}$ a5!) 4 b7 $\stackrel{\simeq}{\equiv}$ e5+ 5 $\stackrel{\circ}{\cong}$ c4 $\stackrel{\simeq}{\equiv}$ e4+ 6 $\stackrel{\circ}{\cong}$ c3 $\stackrel{\simeq}{\equiv}$ e3+ 7 $\stackrel{\circ}{\cong}$ d2! $\stackrel{\simeq}{\cong}$ xa3 8 b8 $\stackrel{\otimes}{=}$ White wins thanks to Black's widelyseparated forces; for example, 8... $\stackrel{\simeq}{\equiv}$ a2+ 9 $\stackrel{\circ}{\cong}$ c3 $\stackrel{\simeq}{\equiv}$ a3+ 10 $\stackrel{\circ}{\cong}$ b4 $\stackrel{\simeq}{=}$ f3 11 $\stackrel{\otimes}{=}$ h2 $\stackrel{\simeq}{=}$ f4+ 12 $\stackrel{\circ}{=}$ c5 and the h-pawn falls.

2 ∲d6

After 2 堂c6 罩e6+ Black just keeps checking until he can either switch his rook to the b-file or White retreats his king to the a-file: 3 堂b7 罩e7+4 堂a6 堂g7 (now Black can advance his king) 5 b5 堂g6 6 b6 堂g5 (not 6...h5? 7 罩a5) 7 b7 罩xb7 8 堂xb7 h5 9 堂c6 h4 10 堂d5 堂g4 11 堂e4 h3 and Black draws.

2...**¤b**5!

A second 'only' move. 2... Ξ f5? loses in a surprising way: 3 \pm c6! Ξ f6+ 4 \pm b7 Ξ f7+ 5 \pm a6 (it isn't obvious why this is lost with Black's rook on the f-file, whereas it was drawn with the rook on the e-file) 5... Ξ f6+ 6 \pm a5 \pm g7 7 b5 \pm g6 8 Ξ g3+! (this is the key point; Black's king cannot move to f5, so it either has to retreat to f7, or block the pawn by moving to the h-file) 8... \pm f7 9 b6 Ξ f1 10 Ξ b3 Ξ a1+ 11 \pm b5 Ξ a8 12 b7 Ξ b8 13 \pm b6 \pm g6 14 Ξ b5 also wins for White) 9 b6 Ξ f1 10 b7 Ξ b1 11 \pm a6 Ξ a1+ 12 \pm b6 Ξ b1+ 13 \pm a7 Ξ a1+ 14 \pm b8 Ξ b1 15 Ξ g8 \pm h4 16 \pm c7 Ξ c1+ 17 \pm d6 and White wins.

3 \Bd \vert g7 4 \vert c6 (D)



A critical moment. Black must choose the correct square for the rook.

4...**⊒e**5?

This is wrong. The path to the draw lay in 4...邕b8! 5 b5 當g6 6 當c7 (or 6 b6 當g5), and now:

1) 6...這a8? 7 b6 當f5 8 b7 這h8 (8...這e8 9 這h3 當g6 10 這d3 這e7+ 11 這d7 這e8 12 這d5 again establishes a fifth-rank cut-off) 9 罩b5+ 當g4 10 罩b6! h5 11 罩g6+ 當f4 12 罩h6 罩xh6 13 b8響 is a win for White.

3) $6...\Xih8?$ 7 Ξ d3! h5 (7... \doteq g5 8 Ξ d8 and White wins) 8 Ξ d5! (this idea should be familiar by now) 8...h4 9 b6 h3 10 b7 Ξ h7+ (10...h2 11 Ξ d1! \pm g5 12 Ξ h1 is similar) 11 \pm c6 Ξ h8 12 Ξ d3 h2 13 Ξ d1 followed by Ξ h1 and Ξ xh2, leading to a \cong vs Ξ win.

4) 6...置e8! (the only drawing square) 7 b6 堂g5! 8 置g3+ (8 b7 h5 is also a draw) 8...堂f4 9 置h3 置h8! 10 b7 堂g4 11 置h6 堂g5 12 置d6 h5 13 置d8 置h7+ 14 堂c6 置xb7 15 堂xb7 h4 and Black is safe.

5 b5 🖄f6 (D)



6 b6?

This move appears natural but throws away the win. The only winning move is 6 罩d3!, which has two functions: firstly, if Black moves his rook along the e-file then White can reply 罩d5, setting up the usual cut-off, and secondly, it nullifies the check on e6 because now White can simply interpose his rook. After 6...h5 (6...罩e1 7 罩d5 含e6 8 罩c5 and 6....罩e8 7 罩d5 含e6 8 罩h5 罩c8+ 9 含b7 are both comfortably

34

winning for White) 7 b6 h4 (7... 🕮 8 🖾 d5) 8 b7 🗮 e8 9 🖾 d5 the fifth-rank cut-off is decisive.

6...**¤e6+**!

Not 6...h5? 7 b7 邕e8 8 邕b5 and White wins as before.

7 ∲b5

After 7 $\&c5 \ \Xi e5+!$ (not 7... $\&c5? 8 b7 \ \Xi e8 9$ b8 $\ \Xi xb8 10 \ \Xi xb8 h5 11 \ \&d4 h4 12 \ \&e3 and$ White wins) White doesn't have a good square for his king; for example, 8 $\&c4 \ \Xi e8 9 b7 \ \Xi b8$ 10 $\&d5 h5 11 \ \&c6 \ \&g5$ with a draw.

7...h5? (D)

Black thinks it's time to push the pawn, but he is wrong. 7...罩e5+? is also bad and loses after 8 含a6 罩e8 9 b7 含g5 10 b8豐 罩xb8 11 罩xb8 h5 12 含b5 h4 13 含c4 含g4 14 含d3 h3 15 含c2.

The drawing line was 7... \pm g5! 8 \equiv c3 (8 b7 \equiv e8 9 \equiv c3 \equiv b8 is also a draw) 8... \equiv e8, when it's impossible for White to create a fifth-rank cut-off and so Black draws after 9 b7 \equiv b8 10 \pm b6 h5 11 \pm c7 \equiv xb7+ 12 \pm xb7 h4.



8 b7?

The fourth and last time the half-point is handed to the opponent. 8 \equiv c3! is the only winning move, based on three ideas; the first is the familiar one of preparing a possible \equiv c5, the second is the immediate threat of \equiv c6, and the third is the idea of b7 followed by \equiv c8, promoting the pawn. White wins after 8... \pm g5 9 \equiv c5+ \pm g4 10 b7 \equiv e8 11 \equiv c8 or 8... \equiv e8 9 \equiv c5! h4 10 b7 h3 11 \pm b6 \pm g6 12 \pm a7 h2 13 \equiv c1.

8....**äe8 9 🕸 c**6

9 罩c3 罩b8! 10 含b6 含g5 is also a draw. 9...含f5 10 b8營 罩xb8 11 罩xb8 h4 Not 11... \$\$g4?, losing to 12 \$\$d5 h4 13 \$\$e4 \$\$g3 14 \$\$e3 h3 15 \$\$]g8+.

12 \$\exists d5 h3 13 \$\exists d4 \$\exists f4 14 \$\exists d3 h2 15 \$\overline{a}h8\$ \$\exists g3 16 \$\overline{a}xh2 \$\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}\$

In the next example, Black can set up a fifthrank cut-off easily enough, but actually winning proves more troublesome.



B. Balogh – Sosonko Netherlands 1973

Material is equal, but Black has a clear advantage based on his active pieces, especially his king. If Black's king can penetrate into the kingside and liquidate the white pawns there, he will have winning chances provided he keeps at least one kingside pawn of his own. This is because his passed pawn will be supported by his king, whereas White's will not. Black's advantage is sufficient to win, but accurate play is required.

$1 h_{3+}$

White finds the best defence, which involves liquidating as many enemy pawns as possible. The alternative 1 \[2b4+ \overline\$h3 2 \overline\$xf3 fails to 2...g4+! (but not 2...\overline\$xh2? 3 g4! h4 4 \[2b5 h3 5 \[2xg5 \[2xb3+ 6 \overline\$f2! \[2b2+ 7 \overline\$f3! \overline\$g1 8 \[2a5 \[2b1 9 \[2a2! h2 10 \[2g2+ \overline\$h1 11 \[2a2 and White draws) 3 \overline\$f4 \[2xh2 4 \[2c4 \[2f2+ 5 \overline\$g5 \overline\$xg3 6 \[0xb3 xb5 \[2f5+ 7 \overline\$g6 \[2b5 8 b4 \overline\$h3 and the gpawn is too quick.

1....🖄 xh3

Not 1... \$\vert xg3? 2 \vert xg5+ \vert h4 3 \vert f5 \vert xb3+4 \$\vert f2 and White draws easily.

2 \$\$xf3 g4+ 3 \$\$f4 \$\$`af2+ 4 \$\$e4