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## Introduction

The Ten Commandments say you should "Honour thy Father and thy Mother." Yet I routinely violate my dad's advice. (My father was so bossy that his "advice" always felt like a commandment.) He told me to open with 1 e4 (he always said "pawn to king's four"), claiming it was "clearly White's best opening move". My mother doesn't play chess and doesn't really care if I open with 1 e4 or not. Throughout my career I disobeyed dad, and in the vast majority of my games I open with either 1 f 3 or 1 d 4 . For me, pushing my e-pawn two squares on the first move is my version of wearing a disguise.

When we meet someone from another country, and another culture and religion, allowances are made for the difference in custom and core belief. Most 1 e4 players I know are - from my perspective - foreigners to my own style. When we return to our old opening, long abandoned, we in a sense become foreigners in our own country of birth. When I first learned chess at age eight, it never occurred to me that White had any other option but to open with the king's pawn. Later I discovered other first moves and drifted away from pushing the e-pawn. I do return home from time to time and still play 1 e4.

Look, when it comes to calm, strategic openings like the Colle, London System, and 1 ©f3, I'm your Yoda. So when I got the desire to write a 1 e4 book, I designed a repertoire suited to the quiet strategist, who wants to squeeze, rather than brawl. Mostly, anyway.

To believe the white pieces should extract a "+=" edge is an uncontroversial assumption. The argument begins with which move we choose to play first. A bit before writing this book I looked up my stats: $1 \mathrm{~d} 4-82.1 \%$, not bad; 1 ff $3-83.5 \%$, even better; and then I looked up 1 e4 and braced myself for a failing grade $-89.0 \%$. What? I didn't see that coming! In many of my other books I jokingly refer to the e-pawn push with the annotation " 1 e4?!". Was Bobby Fischer right when he called 1 e4! "Best by test"? So I asked Byron Jacobs if Everyman would be interested in a 1 e4! repertoire book for the positional player.

Now I may score well with 1 e4, yet I feel intensely uncomfortable, since the positions tend to be sharper than the ones I'm normally accustomed to. However, remember this: the more we expose ourselves to a toxin, the more immunity we build up. In sharper openings even a tactically-challenged dullard like me can play complex lines if I comp them first, study them deeply into the middlegame (and, yes, even into the endings if necessary), play them in online blitz and then, finally, dare to play 1 e4! over the board.

In this book I deliberately chose lines for White which are felt, rather than measured much like the difference between the vaguely comforting "glass of water", when juxtaposed with the scientist's statement "10 fluid ounces of water". Is there such a thing as being over-educated? In chess I think there is, especially in our comped/database era of information overload, and it's often no fun for the average club player.

When we read a chess book, we shouldn't feel like tourists in a strange land, asking halting directions and then getting undecipherable answers from the native speaker. Our memory, which reconstructs yet doesn't necessarily comprehend, tends to work like a storage chest: when it is too full, something old must be removed to make room for the new. So very few of the lines picked for this book are elaborate theoretical climbs. Instead, the repertoire was constructed with an eye to reaching two kinds of positions:

1 Those where our side grabs extra space. Our opening philosophy is based on the blunt declaration: "If you allow me to seize space, then I will do just that."
D Clear positions, perfectly suited to the natural strategist. In this repertoire our goal is to play 1 e4 like a peasant who seeks a simple, uninspiring life. Our repertoire in general steers clear of the super-fashionable and merely seeks a solid foundation.

Opening fads change back and forth over the decades, yet with strategic lines the core tends to remain the same. I have picked lines where, for the most part, our overall plan is self-evident from the core structure.

Let's take a look at our various battlegrounds:

## Double King's Pawn



Look, this book isn't the house of Christian Dior, where fashion is everything. By posting our bishop on c4 we violate the old wives' tale/principle: Develop your knights before your
bishops. Having said that, the Bishop's Opening, a place where the ancient coexists with the modern, is no fringe line only punted by coffeehouse players at Starbucks on Sunday afternoons. The opening sits on the border of two opposing counties: if White plays an early 0 c3, the positions resemble the Vienna Game; if White plays an early d2-d3 and c2c3, we get Ruy-Lopez-like manoeuvring games, except without the mountain of theory.

The value of the Bishop's Opening lines lies not so much in the expectation of a concrete advantage, as much as its practicality. First of all, we don't need to study a line against the Petroff's Defence, which can arise from a 2 f3 move order. Secondly, the Bishop's Opening is dwarfed in popularity by lines like the Ruy Lopez and Scotch Game. This means that your opponents are less likely to be up on the nuances.

You'll notice that I have also included the Frankenstein-Dracula variation, which certainly cannot be described as "positional". That's because I think it's unsound, so the positional player shouldn't back off from playing the white side, even if it goes against our natural style. But the reader is given a choice in any case, so you can always avoid it and stick to d2-d3 and c2-c3 systems if you prefer.

## Sicilian Defence



Against the Sicilian we stay away from the mosquito-breeding waters of Open lines and instead play a multi-tiered system:

1. On 2...d6 we enter the Moscow Variation with 3 息b5+.
2. On $2 . . .0 \mathrm{C}$ we similarly play 3 置b5, the Rossolimo Variation.
3. On 2...e6 we opt for 3 c3, transposing to a line of the c3 Sicilian.

## French Defence



In our treatment of the French and Caro-Kann, space is what passes as currency. When an enemy pawn - even a harmless-looking one like White's pawn on e5-enters your territory, it's the same as trying to go to sleep when you suspect there is a mouse in your bedroom. The mouse can't hurt you, yet there is no way you will get even a blink of sleep that night.

## Caro-Kann Defence



The Advance Caro-Kann and Advance French are in fact different species, yet still about as closely related as Cro-Magnon was to the Neanderthal.

## Pirc, Modern and Philidor Defences



I like Ulf Andersson's ultra-solid Classical treatment of the Pirc and Modern. Against the Philidor, which can arise via 1 e4 d6 2 d4 0 f6 3 che5, we go straight down the main lines, where we can be confident of extracting a " $+=$ ".

## Scandinavian Defence



Be aware that Black's play in the Scandinavian is more suspicious than actually criminal. With 1...d5 and 2... $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wixd } \\ & \text { d }\end{aligned}$ Black opens a wormhole to an alternative reality, where development doesn't matter. At least that is what all Scandinavian players - including me secretly believe! When I begin showing students my Scandinavian games, they always ask: "But, but, but, didn't you say not to bring out our queen early?" And I never have a good answer to that question, except for: "Do as I say, not as I do!"

If you are looking for an opening utopia where we dodge the main lines in every varia-
tion, I have to tell you that there is no such thing. Every system has its irritating limitations. In my opinion, the best way to meet Scandinavian is via the sharpest main lines, so in this chapter we must know our theory quite well.

## Alekhine's Defence



Here I advocate the Exchange Variation. I used to play the Alekhine as my main black weapon versus 1 e4, back in the 1980 s and '90s. I finally discarded it from vast irritation that everyone began playing the Exchange against it. If Black recaptures on d6 with the c7pawn, it becomes theoretically very difficult to equalize; but recapturing with the e7-pawn leaves Black with a difficult-to-win/no fun Petroff-type position. In either case White enjoys a healthy surplus of central space.

And there you have it. May we squeeze our opponents with 1 e4!.

# Game 27 <br> F．Caruana－M．Vachier－Lagrave <br> Sinquefield Cup，St．Louis 2014 




This is currently considered the most testing line．Instead of simply castling（as we saw in the previous two games）or backing up d 4 with c2－c3，White brings out another piece， increasing his lead in development．Yet there are clear dangers involved as well．

Question：What dangers？How can developing be hazardous？
Answer：By bringing out the c1－bishop early，White is vulnerable to ideas of ．．．皆b6 and ．．．衖xb2，plundering a pawn．

## 6．．．断b6！？

Sure，let＇s go pawn grabbing and fall further behind in development．What could go wrong？Despite its suicidal appearance，the engines assure us this move is still playable for Black．We＇ll look at less greedy－and perhaps less critical－alternatives in the next game．

## 7 \％c3！

White＇s most principled reply．This is our go－ahead－make－my－day moment．We offer the b2－pawn，and even the c2－or a2－pawns，aiming to exploit our lead in development．
7．．． 0



包 15 0－0 h6 16 寞d2 with another crazy position that the comp calls even，though I prefer

White＇s pieces over Black＇s queen and pawns，A．Areshchenko－Ba．Jobava，Burgas 2012.

## $80-0$ 謄xb2



Both sides set out their agendas，the origins of which are independent of each other． Great．Thanks for making our day！Some of our opponents will meet our gambit with hearty approval．On the surface it feels as if Black＇s potential for reward is rather paltry in comparison to the magnitude of the risk taken．What do the comps think？Well，they help－ fully tell us the position is unclear！

Question：Hey，what are you getting us into？Our b－pawn fell and our c－pawn is next．Isn＇t this supposed to be a＂positional＂opening repertoire for White？

Answer：Trust in our initiative！If this line works out well for Black，then a development lead in an open position doesn＇t matter and Morphy was either a liar or a fool！I＇m normally a writer who consistently refuses to heed my own counsel，but with this pawn sacrifice I will make an exception and vow to play it as White if I get the chance．One warning to Black too：Wealth does a person no good if you have no lifespan remaining to spend it！

## 9 紧e1！

In my opinion，the most accurate move，which is dual－purpose：
1．White protects his c3－knight．
2．White evades a queen swap after Black＇s coming ．．．卛xc2．
The alternative is to play 9 b5 again，but here after 9．．．c4！ 10 c7＋東d7 11 xa8寛xc2，I like Black who will eventually win the a8－knight and emerge with several pawns for the exchange，S．Karjakin－A．Morozevich，Nice（blindfold rapid） 2009.

## 9．．．cxd4

Black＇s attempts to clog the centre with 9．．．c4 won＇t stop White from opening the


he didn＇t get enough for it，S．Karjakin－V．Laznicka，Poikovsky 2011.
10 思xd4！


## 10．．．$x d 4$ ！

Black needs to exchange knights first．10．．．${ }^{\text {⿷匚⿳ }} \mathrm{a}$ b4？！leaves him dangerously behind in de－
寞xd4．
$110 x d 4$ 寞 b 4


## 12 db 5


䒼c7，followed by 21 e6 with excellent play for the pawn，V．Gashimov－V．Ivanchuk，Reggio Emilia 2010／11．

## 12．．．寞 35

Covering c7 while maintaining the pin on the c3－knight．If 12．．．思xc3？！ 13 xc3 a6 14
 for White，S．Karjakin－A．Tukhaev，European Cup，Plovdiv 2010.

## 

 S．Karjakin－D．Fridman，Dortmund 2012，where 18．．．h6！，intending ．．．g7－g5，looks to give Black a decent position．

## 14．．．索b2 15 g4！？


 in S．Vidit－R．Svane，Moscow 2018.

## 15．．．細g6 16 f4



A concept which strategists／logicians（like me）consistently fail to grasp is that intellect has its limits．The comps call this position even．From a practical standpoint，I think Black＇s game is more difficult to play，since strong defensive moves tend not to be as natural and easy to find as strong attacking moves．

## 16．．．崽e4


 fe3 and the comp calls this messy ending dead level．

## 17 茴f2！

The rook is that ordinary，unremarkable stranger passing through town last week，who you later discovered，by watching the news，is a wanted serial killer．Black＇s queen is greatly endangered by its presence on the same rank．White also sets a devilish trap．

## 17．．．${ }^{0}$ h6？

There is no way to thrust normalcy into an environment where it can＇t possibly exist． The knight is out of play on h6．

The actual damage to Black＇s position is far less than MVL may have believed．After－ wards Caruana suggested the improvement 17．．．高f8！ 18 鼻d3匑b4，which was shown to offer Black equal chances in S．Azarov－A．Shimanov，St．Louis 2017.

$$
\text { Question: What's wrong with playing .... } \mathrm{Q} \text { b and pinning the rook? }
$$

断b4！with a decisive attack for White．

## 18 㑒d3！楼b4？

When you get hit by an unexpected shot（ 17 曽f2！），make certain that the damage sus－ tained is merely physical．Stay calm and don＇t add psychological trauma to it as well．Black had to try 18．．．宽b6 19 xe4 dxe4 20 亘cc2！（the black queen is trapped－this is as awkward

 to offer resistance．

## 


 26 腾d1 and the queen＇s appearance on d5 is decisive．
20 xe4！

 leaves Black busted．

## 20．．．dxe4 21 嶦xa5

Threatening deadly discovered checks to win the queen．
21．．．0－0 22 鬼e2 e3 23 皆ff1 曾fc8 24 断e1
Caruana has consolidated and the rest is easy．

## 24．．．皆d5 25 笪b2 f6 26 紧g3

For what it＇s worth，the comp gives 26 exf6！gxf6 27 唇h4 as stronger．

## 

Black＇s resistance is over since the ending is dead lost if his queen chops the e5－pawn．
Summary：When（or if）you opt for the dynamic 6 蒐e3，be prepared to sacrifice a pawn or two for a dangerous lead in development．

Game 28
S．Karjakin－W．So
FIDE World Cup，Khanty－Mansiysk 2011

This seems a safer option than the ．．．龍b6 and ．．．皆xb2 plan of the previous game．Black resolves the pressure on c5 by trading pawns．On the other hand，it also brings the white knight powerfully into the centre．

I don＇t believe Black equalizes with 6．．．${ }^{\text {Qd }}$ d，which is rather passive；for example， 7 Qbd2 2 e 7 c 4 ！（principle：Open the game when leading in development）8．．． 0 c 6 （8．．．cxd4 9

 vantage to White，who controls d 4 ，owns a valuable queenside majority，and can also play against Black＇s isolated queen＇s pawn，A．Grischuk－M．Roiz，World Team Championship， Ningbo 2011.
7 ） xd 4


## 7．．． 0 e7



 Black＇s king found himself in deep trouble．

## 8 d2

This knight will transfer to f3，reinforcing its colleague on d4．After 8 c 4 bc 9 m 宸 a 4 a 6


## 

Obviously 9．．．宽g4 10 0－0 寞xf3 comes to the same thing．

## 100－0 菣xf3

Black＇s idea is to swap off the bad＂French＂bishop and leave himself with a remaining ＂good＂bishop，while destroying one of White＇s key defenders of e5．The downside is that Black hands over the bishop pair in a semi－open position．

Then again，if Black plays 10．．． 9 g at once，then 11 xc6 bxc6 12 picks up the

 17 朁 C 3 and White had full compensation for the sacrificed pawn，F．Caruana－V．Laznicka， New Delhi 2011.
11 xf 86


We note an ideological crossover．The position looks as if it arose from a French Defence， rather than a Caro－Kann．

Question：Isn＇t our side losing an important pawn？
Answer：Our e5－pawn rests dangerously and is the shard of the broken bottle，just daring some foot to step on it．If Black grabs the pawn we get great compensation in the form of：

1．The bishop pair．
2．An increasing lead in development．
3．Yet more open lines，to augment those arising from our forthcoming pawn break． $12 \mathrm{c4}$ ！

Principles：Open the position and create confrontation when leading in development－ and in possession of the bishop pair．
12．．．dxc4 13 寞xc4 皆c7

Question：I see the subject，but where is the predicate？Why didn＇t Black swap queens and simply grab the e5－pawn？

Answer：Doing so merely enhances our development lead，even into the ending：13．．．緒xd1

 position，Black managed to hold just one draw．
14 㘳 b 3

Applying pressure against b7，in case Black decides to take the e－pawn．Previously
䴗ab8 18 鬼d4，when Black＇s pressure on the b－file and control over d5 made up for his weak c6－pawn，D．Navara－V．Laznicka，Novy Bor（4th matchgame） 2011.

## 

The bishop wasn＇t doing much on c4 and is better posted on the b1－h7 diagonal．

## 16．．． 嘪fd8

Black must weigh the happiness of profit with the dangers of survival．So he continues to treat White＇s e5－pawn with deference，refusing to snatch it，believing that security takes precedence over adventure．Of course，by not taking the pawn，Black is in violation of the psychological principle：Don＇t issue a threat you are unable or unprepared to carry out，since in doing so，you lose credibility．
17 笪fd1 寞 f 818 g 3


18．．．笪d7


 19 蒐 4

Quiet signals of an undercurrent of hostility are sent at Black＇s queenside and pinned c6－knight．It becomes clear that control has passed into White＇s hands．

## 

White＇s seemingly unstealable e5－pawn is one of those cheap motel rooms where eve－ rything of value is bolted to the floor．GM Milos Pavlovic wrote：＂What I find remarkable in this line is that the white pawn is simply doomed on e5 but still White has strong pressure that is not easy to shake off．Such positions you don＇t see often in openings．Some similari－ ties are the Benko or Catalan．＂
21．．． 05 ？

So＇s position dips further after this move as White liquidates into a winning endgame． Black had to try 21．．．a6 22 囬c1，which still looks unpleasant but not as much as in the game．

## 22 嵝a4 b6

息xe5 with a technical win for White．

## 23 笪d7

Seizing control over the seventh rank is decisive．

## 

If $25 . . . a 5$ then 26 b3 ejects the defender of b6 and Black loses material．
26 置xg6！hxg6 27 置xc5


## 27．．．bxc5

Forced．27．．．甾xc5？would be more than just a mild faux pas on Black＇s part，in view of 28


## 28 b3 ${ }^{2}$ b6 29 昆xa7

White has won a clean pawn，which will soon advance down the board．

## 29．．．c4 30 bxc4 0 xc4 31 a4 f6

So feels that the damaged kingside conduit must be prodded into functioning again．If Black doesn＇t play this，his back rank remains vulnerable．If he does，he allows White＇s knight more influence．

Creating a further puncture in Black＇s kingside which the white knight can enter．

## 35．．． 0 c6 36 曷b7 g5 37 f5





Exercise (combination alert): Or perhaps that should be "trick alert". One strong move induces Black's resignation.

Answer: Attraction/simplification.

## 38 党f7+! 1-0

Such shocking moves are the satisfying click of the silencer being attached to the hit man's pistol. After 38...t. account, since White's advanced a-pawn costs Black his remaining knight.
Summary: In the 6...cxd4 line, our e5-pawn is often doomed, but we get tremendous compensation if Black decides to take it.

Game 29
A.Morshedi-C.Lakdawala

San Diego (rapid) 2011


